H.A

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/049,699	CADELL, THEODORE E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Faye Polyzos	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308. 1. This communication is responsive to submissons of 2 February 2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1 and 3-11.		
3. The drawings filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> are accepted by the Examiner.		
4.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendi	te
·		

Application/Control Number: 10/049,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

EXAMINER'S COMMENT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Comment on Submission

1. This communication is responsive to submission of 2 February 2005.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1 and 3-11 are allowed.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 1, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method of calibrating a spectroscopic device for providing a non-invasive measurement of an analyte level in a sample comprising of the selection of a calibration algorithm corresponding to a predicted set of analyte levels within an upper and lower limit of range and slope to calculate a suitability score as the appropriate calibration algorithm.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art to calculate a predicted set of analyte levels based on range, slope and R^2 for multiple calibration algorithms (see for example Rosenthal et al – US 5,576544 – col. 2 and line 66, col. 5 and lines 65-67, col. 6 and lines 1-24), the prior art does not fairly suggest a different algorithm selected from multiple calibration algorithms for use in a first and second calibration where the same algorithm used in the first calibration can be used to calibrate both a higher and lower range in the second calibration.

4. The remaining claims are allowable based on their dependency.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Art Unit: 2878

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Polyzos whose telephone number is 571-272-2447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FP

6.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 3